## Cancer Care

## Community Health Needs Assessment

## Southwest Riverside County <br> Background

Cancer is the second leading cause of death in the United States. Cancer screening, prevention, treatment, and recovery can be a lengthy and difficult process for both patients and their support system.

In an effort to reduce the burden on people suffering from cancer, communities in Southwest Riverside County joined together to form a Regional Cancer Treatment Task force to address the issue.

HARC, Inc., a nonprofit research organization, was hired in 2017 to assist with this work.
This infographic summarizes the results of a community health needs assessment that HARC conducted in summer/fall of 2017. The needs assessment targeted cancer patients, survivors, caregivers, and healthcare providers in Southwest Riverside County.

## Mission

Identify and promote existing cancer care resources within the region
Identify and address any barriers to accessing those existing resources
Identify and address any gaps in resources, including: the pipeline of care facilities and providers, prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and post-treatment.

- Create a plan to promote, foster, and maintain desired cancer care resources within the region


## Funders


$\begin{array}{cccccc}\text { City of Lake } & \text { City of } & \text { City of } & \text { City of } & \text { County of } & \text { IEHP } \\ \text { Elsinore } & \text { Menifee } & \text { Murrieta } & \text { Temecula } & \text { Riverside } & \text { IEHP }\end{array}$

## Method

HARC worked with the task force to develop two surveys:

## Survey for cancer patients/survivers and their caregivers

## Survey for healthcare professionals
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Articles about the Task Force and the study were featured in Valley News. Newspaper advertisements recruiting participants were featured in the Riverside Press Enterprise and in the Valley News.


Task Force members helped to recruit participants by sending the online survey to their clients/patients, and by providing paper copies on location.


HARC also recruited participants via social media, including a Facebook ad campaign and many Twitter posts.

## Participants

These sampling techniques resulted in 689 participants for the community survey and 93 for the provider survey. Those who were ineligible were removed. This resulted in 533 valid participants for the community survey and 44 participants for the provider survey.

## 385

## cancer

 patients/survivers

## caregivers




## Results

## Cancer Patients/Survivors

The most common cancer diagnoses were...

Breast

Skin

Prostate
 14\% 45\%

A quarter of patients/survivors were misdiagnosed at first 25\%

Over a third of patients/survivors delayed seeking care 36\%


## Caregivers

Most participating caregivers were either the only caregiver for the patient (24\%) or the main caregiver (35\%).


Most caregivers cared for a significant other (35\%) or their parent (33\%)

The most common type of responsibilities for caregivers included...

Emotional support 93\%


Going to doctor
84\%


Household chores
77\%


Transportation
75\%


## Healthcare Professionals

Half of providers
were nurses

On average, providers see

587
cancer patients


On the continuum of care, providers are engaged in...

34\% screening
55\% diagnosis
68\% treatment
59\% post-cancer care

## Key Findings

About a quarter of cancer patients/survivors

## $26 \%$

traveled 50 miles or more to
 get their treatment

Both patient/survivors and caregivers believe that the most critical cancer care issues in the region are lack of accredited cancer centers and a lack of specialized care.

Cancer patients/survivors



Lack of specialized care

Caregivers


Lack of accredited cancer centers


Lack of specialized care

Cancer patients/survivors n

Finding community resources


2
Paying for treatment


3Applying for benefits $24 \%$


870/0 of providers refer patients outside of the area, usually due to...

Availability of clinical trials 69\%

Rare type of cancer
47\%

More therapeutic options available 47\%

